Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/511,121	SPENCER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1796
Il Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Jeffrey C. Mullis</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Rivka Monheit</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>19 June 2008</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: those presently rejected in this Office action		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants attorney was contacted to amend claim 12 for clarity by inserting in line 12 after "other" the phrase --when in operation- and to cancel claims 22-25 since no method of production appeared in the specification for the graft copolymers used in these claims and they therefore did not appear to be enabled.